

**Improving Special Education Services (ISES)  
Stakeholders Meeting  
Discussion Group Recommendations  
December 9, 2011**



*California Department of Education, Special Education Division's special project, California Services for Technical Assistance and Training (CalSTAT) is funded through a contract with the Napa County Office of Education. CalSTAT is partially funded from federal funds, State Grants #H027A080116A. Additional federal funds are provided from a federal competitively awarded State Personnel Development Grant to California (#H323A070011) provided from the U.S. Department of Education Part D of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the position of the U. S. Department of Education.*

# Performance Data/SPP Indicators Discussion Group Notes

## 1. What additional data and hypotheses are needed?

- General
  - Make district's data, which is sent to the State, more reliable
  - Successful districts share data collecting/reporting process possibly via Webinars
  - Identify sources of indicator data
  - Ongoing assessments needed & used
  - Need for data meeting/sharing across agencies – clearing house for data collection
  - More TA for districts/ schools - Re: Special Ed data (Best practices)
  - Is there a way data systems can speak to each other?
  - Visual depiction of data a must.
  - What outcomes are students with disabilities getting regardless of placement settings?
  - What information is the Individualized Education Program (IEP) team utilizing in their decision-making process to ensure the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) compliant placement decisions?
- Indicator 3
  - Disaggregate by all proficiency levels by school and district, make data available
  - Accessing data
- Indicator 5
  - Why are the LRE benchmarks not being met?
- Indicators 6-8
  - Reliable data from State and Federally-funded Pre-K programs for children with disabilities and report in user-friendly ways
- Indicator 13
  - Train how to integrate timely & measurable transition goals into the IEP
  - Are transition competencies aligned with secondary transition training needs?
  - What is the definition of “Competitively employed” and “Higher Education”, e.g. What is the minimum hours worked and pay earned?; What is the minimum number of units earned to be considered “higher education”?

- Indicator 14
  - Disaggregate by disability

## **2. Based on trend data review, what needs and priorities surfaced?**

- Targeting LRE (Indicators 5 and 6)
  - Reliable data
  - Do something with the data
- ELA & Math Proficiency –all levels, especially high school
- Secondary Transitions
  - Data related to IEP
  - School to career
  - IEP exit plan
- Sharing consistent and valid data across agencies
- At school and district level, TA & Professional Development for all administrators and teachers: general and special education
  - Data collecting systems
  - Analyzing own data
  - Utilizing the data
- Disproportionality
  - African American students
  - Clear definition of suspension & expulsion
  - Under-represented
- Cultural competence throughout all indicators –
- What does data show?
- What do we do about it? (RTI may be a solution. Who do the students belong to – Special Education only?)
  - Start early & sustain through to high school
  - At school and district level, TA and Professional Development to teach collaborative skills re: RTI

## **3. What kind of improvement activities do you suggest?**

- LRE is driving force
- Data Process
  - Identify sources
  - Collect (consistent and reliable)
  - Analyze
  - Utilize data to improve instruction and learning
- TA in all levels of systems – State, SELPA, LEA, school
  - Using Best Practices
- TA needs to model
  - Systematic and integrated process
  - Sustained Focus

- Resources needed – focused, time, materials, staff
- Professional Development for ALL staff:
  - Teachers: General Education and Special Education
  - Administrators
  - Higher Learning – CTC, Universities, Community colleges
- Train on Frequent not calculated (NC)'s data
- Statewide IEP document to reduce NC
- Publish list of frequent NC
- Review school climate survey (Special Education supports module)

# Compliance Discussion Group Notes

## 1. What additional data and hypotheses are needed?

- Need to disseminate noncompliant findings to general education
- Break out data by:
  - Year, grade (e.g. elementary, secondary, preschool), placement
  - Monitoring forms categories (e.g. school age, preschool, English Language Learners, secondary transition)
- Analysis data:
  - English Language Learners
  - Evaluation of change by cause, population size, and district size
- Review data from the California School Climate Survey

## 2. Based on trend data review, what needs and priorities surfaced?

No comments recorded.

## 3. What kind of improvement activities do you suggest?

No comments recorded.

# Consumer Discussion Group Notes

## 1. What additional data and hypotheses are needed?

- Synthesize data to help make sense of it all
- Use qualitative data – how to effectively use it?
  - How might we better capture anecdotal information about positive experiences that families and children have?
- Parent surveys:
  - Who did **not** receive the surveys?
  - Who are we **not** hearing from?
  - If gaps, how to get better representation?
  - We do not have a random stratified data sample. Why? Do we need it?
  - Combine data from different surveys to see if there are similarities in compliance complaints Parent Training and Information Centers (PTIs), Family Resources Centers (FRCs), etc.
- Describe the process for moving from data-informed identification of focus areas for State Performance Plan (SPP). We have data and then what?
- Create summary of what surveys are distributed listing audience and purpose.
- Create chart on surveys listing top three findings

## 2. Based on trend data review, what needs and priorities surfaced?

## 3. What kind of improvement activities do you suggest?

- Display data results from surveys in a user-friendly, easily understood manner
- Develop feedback system to the families that participated in a survey so those families would be more likely to participate in the future. This strategy could increase survey completion rates.