

# State Performance Plan (SPP) Synopsis 2006

## Monitoring Priorities and Indicators

### Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Indicator #1	Graduation
Indicator #2	Dropout
Indicator #3	Statewide Assessment
Indicator #4	Suspension and Expulsion
Indicator #5	Least Restrictive Environment
Indicator #6	Preschool Least Restrictive Environment
Indicator #7	Preschool Assessment
Indicator #8	Parent Involvement

### Disproportionality

Indicator #9	Disproportionality Overall
Indicator #10	Disproportionality Disability

### Child Find

Indicator #11	Eligibility Evaluation
---------------	------------------------

### Effective Transition

Indicator #12	Part C to Part B Transition
Indicator #13	Secondary Transition Goals and Services
Indicator #14	Post-school

### Effective General Supervision Part B

Indicator #15	General Supervision
Indicator #16	Complaints
Indicator #17	Due Process
Indicator #18	Hearing Requests
Indicator #19	Mediation
Indicator #20	State-Reported Data

# **Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)**

## **Indicator #1      Graduation**

Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma compared to percent of all youth in the State graduating with a regular diploma.

## **Indicator #2      Dropout**

Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school compared to the percent of all youth in the State dropping out of high school.

## **Indicator #3      Statewide Assessment**

Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments.

- A. Percent of districts meeting the State's Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) objectives for progress for disability subgroup.
- B. Participation rate for children with IEPs in:
  - i. Regular assessment with no accommodations;
  - ii. Regular assessment with accommodations;
  - iii. Alternate assessment against grade level standards; and
  - iv. Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.
- C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs:
  - i. Against grade level standards; and
  - ii. Alternate achievement standards.

## **Indicator #4      Suspension and Expulsion**

- A. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year;
- B. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year of children with disabilities by race and ethnicity.

## **Indicator #5      Least Restrictive Environment**

Percent of children with IEPs aged 6-21:

- A. Removed from regular class less than 21 percent of the day;
- B. Removed from regular class greater than 60 percent of the day; or
- C. Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements

## **Indicator #6      Preschool Least Restrictive Environment**

Percent of preschool children with IEPs who received special education and related services in settings with typically developing peers (e.g., early childhood settings, home, and part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education settings).

## **Indicator #7      Preschool Assessment**

Percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved:

- A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);
- B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy); and
- C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

## **Indicator #8      Parent Involvement**

Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.

## **Disproportionality**

### **Indicator #9      Disproportionality Overall**

Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.

### **Indicator #10     Disproportionality Disability**

Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.

## **Child Find**

### **Indicator #11     Eligibility Evaluation**

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days.

## **Effective Transition**

### **Indicator #12     Part C to Part B Transition**

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP) developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

### **Indicator #13      Secondary Transition Goals and Services**

Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an Individualized Education Program (IEP) that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goals.

### **Indicator #14      Post-school**

Percent of youth who had Individualized Education Programs (IEP), are no longer in secondary school and who have been competitively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both, within one year of leaving high school.

## **Effective General Supervision Part B**

### **Indicator #15      General Supervision**

General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.

### **Indicator #16      Complaints**

Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.

### **Indicator #17      Due Process**

Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the 45-day timeline or a timeline that is properly extended by the hearing officer at the request of either party.

### **Indicator #18      Hearing Requests**

Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements.

### **Indicator #19      Mediation**

Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.

### **Indicator #20      State-Reported Data**

State-reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Plan Report) are timely and accurate.